

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspta.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	7
10/002,171	12/05/2001	Híroshi Yoshida	0171-0802P-SP	2257	٠,
2292	7590 06/08/2004		EXAM	INER	۱'
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			WEINER, LAURA S		-
PO BOX 747	RCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
TALLED CITO	ACII, 111 22010 011	•	1745		_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/002,171	YOSHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Laura S Weiner	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statur. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vil Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' I, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 December 2001.						
·= ·)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the I 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectic Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to be on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyande or correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disciosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/002,171

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a polymer gel electrolyte, classified in class 252, subclass 62.2.
 - Claims 11-13, drawn to a secondary battery, classified in class 429, subclass 300.
 - III. Claims 14-15, drawn to a double layer capacitor, classified in class 361, subclass 502.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II, III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as for a battery or a double layer capacitor as claimed in the claims and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that

this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions II and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because they are not disclosed as capabale of use together and have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects such that Invention II drawn to a secondary battery cell which is a device used for generating an electric current by chemical reaction versus Invention III is drawn to a capacitor which is used to store a charge temporarily.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a plasticizer. Please define R1, R2, R3, R4 and X.

Also, if Invention I is chosen define in claims 6-7 being the interpenetrating network structure or semi-interpenetrating network structure as a) a hydroxyalkyl

Application/Control Number: 10/002,171

Art Unit; 1745

polysaccharide derivative, b) a polyvinyl alcohol derivative or c) a polyglycidol derivative in combination with a crosslinkable functional group-bearing compound. Also, choose either claim 8, the matrix polymer is a thermoplastic resin containing formula (3) or claim 9 is a fluoropolymer material.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/002,171

Art Unit: 1745

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

 A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement because of the complexity, therefore, an election was not made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura S Weiner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745

June 7, 2004